

2017 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

FIRST SESSION OF THE 115TH CONGRESS



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS
scorecard.lcv.org

LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS *

JOHN H. ADAMS

Natural Resources Defense Council

CRISTÓBAL ALEX

Latino Victory Project

BRENT BLACKWELDER, HONORARY

Friends of the Earth

THE HONORABLE SHERWOOD L. BOEHLERT, VICE CHAIR

The Accord Group

THE HONORABLE CAROL BROWNER, CHAIR

Former EPA Administrator

BRENDON CECHOVIC

Western Conservation Foundation

CARRIE CLARK

North Carolina League of Conservation Voters

ELAINE FRENCH

John and Elaine French Family Foundation

WADE GREENE, HONORARY

Rockefeller Family & Associates

STEVE HOLTZMAN

Boies Schiller Flexner LLP

RAMPA R. HORMEL, HONORARY

Enlyst Fund

JOHN HUNTING, HONORARY

John Hunting & Associates

MICHAEL KIESCHNICK

Green Advocacy Project

ROGER KIM

Democracy Alliance

MARK MAGAÑA

GreenLatinos

WINSOME MCINTOSH, HONORARY

The McIntosh Foundation

MOLLY MCUSIC

Wyss Foundation

WILLIAM H. MEADOWS III

The Wilderness Society

REUBEN MUNGER

Vision Ridge Partners, LLC

SCOTT NATHAN

Center for American Progress

BILL ROBERTS

Corridor Partners

LARRY ROCKEFELLER

American Conservation Association

THEODORE ROOSEVELT IV, HONORARY CHAIR

Barclays Capital

KERRY SCHUMANN

Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

LAURA TURNER SEYDEL

Turner Foundation

TRIP VAN NOPPEN

Earthjustice

KATHLEEN WELCH

Corridor Partners

ANTHA WILLIAMS

Bloomberg Philanthropies

REVEREND LENNOX YEARWOOD, JR.

Hip Hop Caucus

LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE *

BRENT BLACKWELDER

Friends of the Earth

THE HONORABLE CAROL BROWNER

Former EPA Administrator

RUTH HENNIG

The John Merck Fund

MARK MAGAÑA

GreenLatinos

REUBEN MUNGER

Vision Ridge Partners, LLC

KERRY SCHUMANN

Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

TRIP VAN NOPPEN

Earthjustice

LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE *

CAROL ANDRESS

Environmental Defense Fund

ANNA AURILIO

Environment America

KRISTEN BRENGEL

National Parks Conservation Association

ALISON CASSADY

Center for American Progress

ROBERT COWIN

Union of Concerned Scientists

ROBERT DEWEY

Defenders of Wildlife

MARTY HAYDEN

Earthjustice

ADDIE HAUGHEY

Ocean Conservancy

CRAIG LASHER

Population Action International

DREW MCCONVILLE

The Wilderness Society

KRISTEN MILLER

Alaska Wilderness League

MELINDA PIERCE

Sierra Club

JOSHUA SAKS

National Wildlife Federation

ERIK SCHNEIDER

National Audubon Society

BEN SCHREIBER

Friends of the Earth

SCOTT SLESINGER

Natural Resources Defense Council

CONTENTS

1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 1st Session of the 115th Congress	3
Voting Summary	6

2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	12
Senate Votes	18

3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions	23
House Votes	34

Cover photo by Matt Roth.

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day. LCV works to turn environmental values into national, state and local priorities.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all members of the first session of the 115th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which members of Congress should be scored. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, climate change, public health, public lands and wildlife conservation, and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and Scorecard Advisory Committee for their valuable input.





There's no getting around it: at the federal level, 2017 was an unmitigated disaster for the environment and public health with President Trump and his Cabinet quickly becoming the most anti-environmental administration in our nation's history. LCV gave Trump an "F" for his first-year report card, which does not come close to capturing either the breadth or depth of his administration's assault on environmental protections and the harm it is causing communities across the country—all to sell out our future to wealthy corporate polluters. This is shameful, especially in a year when the weather-related impacts of climate change have left painful scars on so many of our communities—hurricanes tore through Texas, Florida, and Puerto Rico, and wildfires raged throughout California and much of the West. Now is not a time to exacerbate the climate crisis.

But as the *2017 National Environmental Scorecard*—which includes 19 Senate and 35 House votes—details, rather than serving as a check on the Trump administration's relentless attacks on the environment and public health, the Republican-controlled Congress has instead followed the administration's anti-environmental lead. Nowhere is this anti-environmental collaboration more apparent than in eight of the votes that the Senate took confirming Trump's historically anti-environmental Cabinet and sub-Cabinet nominees—there is simply no overstating the catastrophic impact of these joint personnel decisions. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Scott Pruitt has aggressively gutted the agency from the inside. Secretary of Interior Ryan Zinke removed vital protections for national monuments, coastlines and other public lands. Director of the Office of Management & Budget Mick Mulvaney proposed budget cuts to environmental programs and agencies that were so draconian even Congress rejected them.

In addition to confirming these extreme individuals to crucial positions, the Republican-led Senate also approved judicial nominations at a rapid pace, confirming not just Neil Gorsuch to the Supreme Court but a slate of extreme district and circuit court judges whose lifetime appointments will impact the environment and public health for decades to come.

When it came to legislation, the Republican tax package proved the nadir of a long year of attacks by giving

huge tax breaks to billionaires and corporations, exploding the deficit which will be used as a future justification for massive cuts to environmental and other critical programs, and opening the iconic Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to drilling. Earlier in the long year of environmental attacks, the Senate made record-breaking use of the Congressional Review Act (CRA), an extreme tool that undermines regular Senate procedure and even obstructs the future work of agencies.

The good news is that Senate Democrats played a vital role in blocking many egregious attacks throughout 2017, with thanks in particular to Minority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-NY) and others on his leadership team, along with Environment & Public Works Committee Ranking Member Tom Carper (D-DE) and Energy & Natural Resources Ranking Member Maria Cantwell (D-WA). All Senate Democrats, along with three Republicans, blocked a CRA that would have overturned the Bureau of Land Management's Methane Rule. Democrats and a few Republicans also stopped chemical company shill Michael Dourson's nomination to lead the EPA's environmental health office. Additionally, Senate Democrats made it clear that attacks on bedrock environmental laws and House-passed bills to weaken our regulatory system were non-starters.

In the House, the *2017 Scorecard* includes a laundry list of votes that reflect the Republican leadership's radical disregard for the environment and our communities'

health. The House began the 115th Congress on a particularly egregious note by passing several bills to gut our regulatory system—these schemes would make it virtually impossible for future administrations to enact commonsense environmental safeguards for our public health, our safety in the workplace, and our food supply. Like the Senate, the House made record-breaking use of the CRA to permanently overturn many commonsense environmental rules and also passed an astounding number of stand-alone bills to weaken our cornerstone environmental laws, undermine basic science, and threaten our air, water, lands and wildlife.

Across both chambers, many scores clustered at the bottom and top of the scale, revealing consistent voting blocs of both anti-environmental and pro-environmental lawmakers. An astounding 46 Republican senators received a score of zero percent in 2017, meaning they voted against the environment and public health at every opportunity. In stark contrast, 27 Democratic senators earned a perfect score of 100 percent. In the Senate, Democrats averaged 93 percent, while Republicans averaged 1 percent. On the House side, 124 Republicans scored zero percent and 84 Democrats earned a perfect score of 100 percent. The House Democratic caucus averaged 94 percent, whereas the House Republican caucus averaged 5 percent. It is worth noting the impressive number of perfect scores in both chambers—a reflection of the many devoted environmental champions who not only earned a perfect voting record but also fought for the environment at every

turn, whether in the halls of the Capitol, in their states, or over social media.

The story of the bipartisan Climate Solutions Caucus is less reassuring. The caucus is currently comprised of 68 voting members of Congress, including 34 House Republicans—many of whom helped defeat an attempt to remove a provision directing the Department of Defense to prepare for climate change. But its Republican members' scores averaged just 16 percent. Joining the caucus can be an important step, but it's simply not enough; we need these Republican members to vote for climate action, to lead on real solutions, and to push their colleagues and party leadership to do better.

While 2017 was clearly full of bad news in our nation's capital, we made progress as the clean energy revolution marched forward across the globe. To start, state and local leaders across the country have committed to meeting our obligations under the Paris Climate Agreement. In both the West and the Northeast, states have committed to impressive carbon-cutting goals, and, in contrast to the federal level, many Republican-led states—Massachusetts, Michigan, Nevada and Ohio—continued to make progress on clean energy. As we fight the unprecedented anti-environmental attacks coming from both Congress and the Trump administration, there will be more and more opportunities to make progress in state capitols, in city halls, and in the marketplace. LCV and our state LCV partners are more determined than ever to build on that progress in the months and years to come.



DIVERSITY

CONGRESS,
DEFEND
THE ARCTIC
NATIONAL
WILDLIFE
REFUGE


PROTECT
THE
ARCTIC

VOTING SUMMARY



2017 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	0	14
Alaska	0	0
Arizona	6	42
Arkansas	0	1
California	100	72
Colorado	42	44
Connecticut	98	94
Delaware	92	97
Florida	48	40
Georgia	0	26
Hawaii	100	94
Idaho	0	4
Illinois	100	60
Indiana	32	24
Iowa	0	24
Kansas	0	1
Kentucky	3	21
Louisiana	0	18
Maine	53	60
Maryland	100	83
Massachusetts	98	97
Michigan	98	39
Minnesota	100	52
Mississippi	0	24
Missouri	40	23
Montana	42	9
Nebraska	0	8
Nevada	45	75
New Hampshire	100	97
New Jersey	95	68
New Mexico	92	68
New York	100	71
North Carolina	0	25
North Dakota	29	0
Ohio	48	26
Oklahoma	0	3
Oregon	98	76
Pennsylvania	50	35
Rhode Island	100	100
South Carolina	3	17
South Dakota	0	0
Tennessee	5	23
Texas	0	27
Utah	0	1
Vermont	100	97
Virginia	87	37
Washington	100	62
West Virginia	24	0
Wisconsin	50	42
Wyoming	0	0

SENATE



HOUSE



2017 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest Senate Delegations:

California 100% · Hawaii 100% · Illinois 100% · Maryland 100% · Minnesota 100% · New Hampshire 100% · New York 100% · Rhode Island 100% · Vermont 100% · Washington 100%

Senate Scores of 100:

CALIFORNIA Feinstein · Harris · **CONNECTICUT** Blumenthal · **HAWAII** Hirono · Schatz · **ILLINOIS** Duckworth · Durbin · **MARYLAND** Cardin · Van Hollen · **MASSACHUSETTS** Warren · **MICHIGAN** Peters · **MINNESOTA** Franken · Klobuchar · **NEW HAMPSHIRE** Hassan · Shaheen · **NEW JERSEY** Booker · **NEW YORK** Gillibrand · Schumer · **OREGON** Merkley · **PENNSYLVANIA** Casey · **RHODE ISLAND** Reed · Whitehouse · **VERMONT** Leahy · Sanders · **WASHINGTON** Cantwell · Murray · **WISCONSIN** Baldwin

Lowest Senate Delegations:

Alabama 0% · Alaska 0% · Arkansas 0% · Georgia 0% · Idaho 0% · Iowa 0% · Kansas 0% · Louisiana 0% · Mississippi 0% · Nebraska 0% · North Carolina 0% · Oklahoma 0% · South Dakota 0% · Texas 0% · Utah 0% · Wyoming 0%

Senate Scores of 0:

ALABAMA Shelby · Strange · **ALASKA** Murkowski · Sullivan · **ARIZONA** Flake · **ARKANSAS** Boozman · Cotton · **COLORADO** Gardner · **FLORIDA** Rubio · **GEORGIA** Isakson · Perdue · **IDAHO** Crapo · Risch · **INDIANA** Young · **IOWA** Ernst · Grassley · **KANSAS** Moran · Roberts · **KENTUCKY** McConnell · **LOUISIANA** Cassidy · Kennedy · **MISSISSIPPI** Cochran · Wicker · **MISSOURI** Blunt · **MONTANA** Daines · **NEBRASKA** Fischer · Sasse · **NEVADA** Heller · **NORTH CAROLINA** Burr · Tillis · **NORTH DAKOTA** Hoeven · **OHIO** Portman · **OKLAHOMA** Inhofe · Lankford · **PENNSYLVANIA** Toomey · **SOUTH CAROLINA** Scott · **SOUTH DAKOTA** Rounds · Thune · **TEXAS** Cornyn · Cruz · **UTAH** Hatch · Lee · **WEST VIRGINIA** Capito · **WISCONSIN** Johnson · **WYOMING** Barrasso · Enzi

2017 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest House Delegations:

Rhode Island 100% · Delaware 97% · Massachusetts 97% · New Hampshire 97% · Vermont 97% · Connecticut 94% · Hawaii 94%

House Scores of 100:

ARIZONA Grijalva · **CALIFORNIA** Huffman · Matsui · Bera · DeSaulnier · Lee, B. · Speier · Eshoo · Lofgren · Carbajal · Brownley · Chu · Schiff · Sherman · Aguilar · Ruiz · Sánchez · Takano · Vargas · Davis, S. · **COLORADO** Polis · Perlmutter · **CONNECTICUT** Himes · Esty · **FLORIDA** Soto · **GEORGIA** Lewis · **ILLINOIS** Kelly · Lipinski · Krishnamoorthi · Schakowsky · Schneider · Foster · **INDIANA** Visclosky · **KENTUCKY** Yarmuth · **MAINE** Pingree · **MARYLAND** Ruppersberger · Sarbanes · Brown · Delaney · Raskin · **MASSACHUSETTS** Neal · McGovern · Moulton · Capuano · Lynch · Keating · **MICHIGAN** Levin · Dingell · Lawrence · **MINNESOTA** McCollum · Ellison · **NEVADA** Titus · **NEW HAMPSHIRE** Shea-Porter · **NEW JERSEY** Pallone · **NEW MEXICO** Lujan Grisham · Luján · **NEW YORK** Rice, K. · Meng · Velázquez · Nadler · Crowley · Lowey · Tonko · Higgins · **NORTH CAROLINA** Price, D. · Adams · **OHIO** Beatty · Fudge · **OREGON** Bonamici · Blumeanauer · DeFazio · **RHODE ISLAND** Cicilline · Langevin · **TENNESSEE** Cooper · Cohen · **TEXAS** Green, A. · O'Rourke · Castro · **VIRGINIA** Scott, R. · Beyer · Connolly · **WASHINGTON** Kilmer · Heck · **WISCONSIN** Moore

Lowest House Delegations:

Alaska 0% · North Dakota 0% · South Dakota 0% · West Virginia 0% · Wyoming 0% · Arkansas 1% · Kansas 1% · Utah 1% · Oklahoma 3% · Idaho 4%

House Scores of 0:

ALABAMA Byrne · Roby · Rogers, M. · Aderholt · Palmer · **ALASKA** Young, Don · **ARIZONA** Gosar · Schweikert · Franks · **ARKANSAS** Crawford · Womack · Westerman · **CALIFORNIA** LaMalfa · McClintock · Hunter · **COLORADO** Lamborn · **FLORIDA** Posey · Bilirakis · Ross · Rooney, F. · **GEORGIA** Carter, E.L. · Ferguson · Handel · Scott, A. · Collins, D. · Hice · Loudermilk · Allen · **IDAHO** Labrador · **ILLINOIS** Hultgren · LaHood · **INDIANA** Walorski · Banks · **IOWA** Blum · King, S. · **KANSAS** Marshall · Yoder · Estes · **KENTUCKY** Guthrie · Barr · **LOUISIANA** Higgins, C. · Johnson, M. · Abraham · **MICHIGAN** Huizenga · Walberg · **MINNESOTA** Lewis, Jason · Emmer · **MISSISSIPPI** Kelly, T. · Harper · Palazzo · **MISSOURI** Wagner · Luetkemeyer · Graves, S. · Long · Smith, J. · **NEBRASKA** Smith, Adrian · **NORTH CAROLINA** Holding · Foxx · Walker · Hudson · Pittenger · McHenry · Meadows · Budd · **NORTH DAKOTA** Cramer · **OHIO** Chabot · Wenstrup · Jordan · Latta · Johnson, B. · Gibbs · Davidson · Tiberi · Renacci · **OKLAHOMA** Mullin · Russell · **PENNSYLVANIA** Kelly, M. · Perry · Shuster · **SOUTH CAROLINA** Wilson, J. · Duncan, Jeff · Gowdy · Norman · **SOUTH DAKOTA** Noem · **TENNESSEE** Fleischmann · DesJarlais · Black · Blackburn · Kustoff · **TEXAS** Poe · Johnson, S. · Ratcliffe · Hensarling · McCaul · Conaway · Granger · Thornberry · Weber · Flores · Arrington · Smith, L. · Olson · Marchant · Williams · Burgess · Farenthold · Carter, J. · Sessions · Babin · **UTAH** Bishop, R. · Stewart · Chaffetz · Curtis · **VIRGINIA** Goodlatte · Brat · Griffith · **WASHINGTON** Newhouse · McMorris Rodgers · **WEST VIRGINIA** McKinley · Mooney · Jenkins · **WISCONSIN** Grothman · Duffy · **WYOMING** Cheney

RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Roberts (KS)	0	Stabenow (MI)	95
Appropriations	Cochran (MS)	0	Leahy (VT)	100
Commerce, Science and Transportation	Thune (SD)	0	Nelson (FL)	95
Energy and Natural Resources	Murkowski (AK)	0	Cantwell (WA)	100
Environment and Public Works	Barrasso (WY)	0	Carper (DE)	95
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	0	RANKING MEMBERS	97

HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Conaway (TX-11)	0	Peterson (MN-07)	14
Appropriations	Frelinghuysen (NJ-11)	9	Lowey (NY-17)	100
Energy and Commerce	Walden (OR-02)	9	Pallone (NJ-06)	100
Natural Resources	Bishop, Rob (UT-01)	0	Grijalva (AZ-03)	100
Science, Space, and Technology	Smith, Lamar (TX-21)	0	Johnson, Eddie Bernice (TX-30)	94
Transportation and Infrastructure	Shuster (PA-09)	0	DeFazio (OR-04)	100
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	3	RANKING MEMBERS	85

PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Schumer (NY), Minority Leader	100	McConnell (KY), Majority Leader	0
Durbin (IL), Minority Whip	100	Cornyn (TX), Majority Whip	0
Murray (WA), Assistant Democratic Leader	100	Thune (SD), Conference Chair	0
Stabenow (MI), Chairwoman of Policy and Communications Committee	95	Blunt (MO), Conference Vice Chair	0
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	99	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	0

HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Pelosi (CA-12), Minority Leader	94	Ryan (WI-01), Speaker of the House*	N/A
Hoyer (MD-05), Minority Whip	97	McCarthy (CA-23), Majority Leader	3
Clyburn (SC-06), Assistant Minority Leader	83	Scalise (LA-01), Majority Whip	N/A
Crowley (NY-14), Caucus Chairman	100	McMorris Rodgers (WA-05), Conference Chairman	0
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	94	Messer (IN-06), Policy Committee Chairman	3
		LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	2

* The Speaker of the House votes at his discretion.



OUR LANDS ARE OUR VOTE

LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

MONUMENTS FOR ALL

OUR LANDS ARE OUR VOTE

LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

MONUMENTS FOR ALL

OUR LANDS ARE OUR VOTE

LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS



LEAVING
CRISIS
NIGHT

YOU
Trumpy
ING THE
NE OF
U.S.A.

MOMS
in air



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS
**RESIST
BUILD
RISE**
VOTE
Text WEBUILD
to 877-877

Sol no

MO
clear
FO

LESS
POLU
MORE
SOL





ot Coal
M S
n air
R C
G FOR

LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS
Coal
REBU
V

LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS
#ActOnClimate

LEAVING PARIS
WAKE UP TO THE CLIMATE

CHISPA
OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

2017 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1. TILLERSON CONFIRMATION (SECRETARY OF STATE)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Rex Tillerson to serve as secretary of state. The secretary of state is tasked with carrying out the president's foreign policy agenda and plays a key role in shaping international climate policy. Tillerson previously served as CEO of ExxonMobil, where he opposed policies to take action on climate change and supported efforts to drill for oil in the Arctic. Tillerson's deep ties to ExxonMobil brought unprecedented conflicts of interest and concerns over corporate influence to our government. On February 1, the Senate confirmed Rex Tillerson to be secretary of state by a vote of 56-43 (Senate roll call vote 36). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

2. EXTREME ASSAULT ON THE STREAM PROTECTION RULE (CRA)


Representative Bill Johnson (R-OH) sponsored H.J. Res. 38, the Congressional Review Act "Resolution of Disapproval" of the Stream Protection Rule, which would threaten the drinking water and public health of communities living near coal mining operations by permanently blocking the Department of the Interior's (DOI) Stream Protection Rule. This important rule set commonsense requirements for coal mining to better protect ground water, surface water, and ecosystems from toxic coal mining waste, which has been linked to increased rates of cancer, birth defects, and other health problems in nearby communities. The rule protects 6,000 miles of streams and 52,000 acres of forests, set up new requirements for water quality monitoring and restoration, and generally compels coal mining companies to reduce their impact on the surrounding environment. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit DOI from ever issuing "substantially similar" regulations in the future. Following its passage in the House, on February 2, the Senate approved H.J. Res. 38 by a vote of 54-45 (Senate roll call vote 43). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** President Trump signed H.J. Res. 38 into law on February 16.

3. ASSAULT ON THE ANTI-CORRUPTION RULE FOR FOSSIL FUEL COMPANIES (CRA)

Representative Bill Huizenga (R-MI) sponsored H.J. Res. 41, the Congressional Review Act "Resolution of Disapproval" of the Security and Exchange Commission's (SEC) rule requiring fossil fuel companies to publicly disclose payments to governments in exchange for oil, gas, and minerals. This rule helped bring transparency to the flow of money between fossil fuel companies on the U.S. stock exchanges and U.S. and foreign governments, deterring corruption and mismanagement among all parties. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit the SEC from ever issuing "substantially similar" regulations in the future. Following its passage in the House, on February 3, the Senate approved H.J. Res. 41 by a vote of 52-47 (Senate roll call vote 51). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** President Trump signed H.J. Res. 41 into law on February 14.

4. SESSIONS CONFIRMATION (ATTORNEY GENERAL)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Senator Jeff Sessions (R-AL) to serve as attorney general of the United States. The attorney general is responsible for defending and enforcing laws that ensure justice, safety, health and wellness for all people in this country. Sessions has publicly denied the overwhelming scientific evidence that climate change is real and caused by human activity,



and he has repeatedly questioned the need for safeguards from the Environmental Protection Agency, earning a 6 percent lifetime score on LCV's *National Environmental Scorecard*. In 1986, the Senate rejected Sessions' nomination for a federal judgeship over concerns about prejudice and overt racism, and he has supported strict voter ID laws that restrict access to the ballot. Sessions' record made it clear that he would not be an arbiter of justice and truth for all people and would not defend the laws that protect people's health. On February 8, the Senate confirmed Sessions to be attorney general by a vote of 52-47 (Senate roll call vote 59). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

5. MULVANEY CONFIRMATION (OMB DIRECTOR)


The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Representative Mick Mulvaney (R-SC) to serve as director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). OMB is tasked with administering the president's budget and overseeing the performance of federal agencies and their regulatory processes. Therefore, OMB has influence over environmental and public health safeguards, as well as funding levels for environmental priorities. Mulvaney denies the scientific consensus on climate change and repeatedly voted against environmental safeguards as a congressman, earning a 7 percent lifetime score on LCV's *National Environmental Scorecard*. Mulvaney has also rejected the scientific consensus on other issues, such as the link between the Zika virus and birth defects, and he has questioned the need for government funded scientific research. On February 16, the Senate confirmed Mulvaney to be OMB director by a vote of 51-49 (Senate roll call vote 68). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

6. PRUITT CONFIRMATION (EPA ADMINISTRATOR)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Scott Pruitt to serve as the administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA and its leader are charged with protecting human health and the environment – our air, water, and land. In his role as the Oklahoma attorney general, Pruitt sued the EPA 14 times, attempting to block efforts to cut carbon pollution and weaken safeguards for our air and water. Pruitt has repeatedly denied the overwhelming scientific consensus that climate change is real and caused by human activity and has extremely close ties to fossil fuel interests. During his confirmation process, Pruitt refused repeated requests to turn over email correspondence between his Oklahoma attorney general's office and oil and gas companies. By ignoring sound science and showing no interest in upholding our nation's bedrock environmental and public health laws, Pruitt failed to meet the most basic standards needed to perform this job in good faith. On February 17, the Senate confirmed Pruitt to be the EPA administrator by a vote of 52-46 (Senate roll call vote 71). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

7. ZINKE CONFIRMATION (INTERIOR SECRETARY)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Representative Ryan Zinke (R-MT) to serve as secretary of the Department of the Interior (DOI). This position is critical to protecting our treasured natural heritage for future generations, but Zinke puts our public lands, national monuments and parks, clean air and water, and wildlife at risk. Zinke's record on protecting America's majestic public lands has far too often failed to meet his pro-conservation rhetoric, resulting in a 4 percent lifetime score on LCV's *National Environmental Scorecard*. Zinke has refused to accept the reality of



climate science and consistently put the interests of corporate polluters ahead of our air, water, lands, and wildlife. On March 1, the Senate confirmed Zinke to be secretary of the Department of the Interior by a vote of 68-31 (Senate roll call vote 75). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

8. PERRY CONFIRMATION (ENERGY SECRETARY)

The Senate considered President Trump’s nomination of Rick Perry to serve as secretary of the Department of Energy. The Department of Energy is charged with overseeing the country’s energy, environmental and nuclear policy with a focus on transformative science and technology solutions. As the governor of Texas, Perry appointed officials who denied climate science to key environmental positions, he sought to fast-track permit applications for new coal power plants, and he repeatedly sued the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) over proposed limits on carbon pollution. Perry has also denied the science of climate change and previously called to eliminate the Department of Energy. On March 2, the Senate confirmed Perry to be secretary of the Department of Energy by a vote of 62-37 (Senate roll call vote 79). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

9. BLOCKING LOCAL INPUT ABOUT PUBLIC LANDS (CRA)

Representative Liz Cheney (R-WY) sponsored H.J. Res 44, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the Bureau of Land Management’s (BLM) “Planning 2.0” Rule. The “Planning 2.0” Rule allows BLM – which is responsible for managing more than 245 million acres of public lands – to approach the management of public lands in a more holistic way that considers conservation and outdoor recreation needs by increasing the participation of local stakeholders and engaging them earlier in the planning process. Repealing this rule would result in BLM reverting back to an outdated land management planning process that has not been updated for over three decades. Additionally, this use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit BLM from ever issuing “substantially similar” regulations in the future. Following its passage in the House, on March 7, the Senate approved H.J. Res 44 by a vote of 51-48 (Senate roll call vote 82). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** President Trump signed H.J. Res. 44 into law on March 27.

10. BLOCKING WILDLIFE PROTECTIONS IN ALASKA (CRA)

Representative Don Young (R-AK) sponsored H.J. Res 69, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s (FWS) Alaska National Wildlife Refuges Rule. This rule protects wildlife from the intensive predator control policy designed by the state of Alaska, which aims to significantly suppress the populations of native carnivores in order to artificially inflate game populations. The state’s predator control policy is not based on sound science and permits extreme and inhumane practices. These practices include killing wolves and bears in their dens, bear baiting, and using airplanes to scout and hunt bears. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit FWS from ever issuing “substantially similar” protections in the future. Following its passage in the House, on March 21, the Senate approved H.J. Res 69 by a vote of 52-47 (Senate roll call vote 92). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** President Trump signed H.J. Res. 69 into law on April 3.

11. GORSUCH CONFIRMATION (SUPREME COURT)

The Senate considered President Trump’s nomination of Neil Gorsuch to the U.S. Supreme Court. Justices on the Supreme Court have an immense responsibility to protect the rights of the people in this country and to interpret the constitution without personal ideological influence. In the coming decade, the Supreme Court will tackle critical issues relating to voting rights and the environment, both of which will have lasting impacts for generations to come. Gorsuch’s record reflects support for corporations at the expense of the public interest. His stance on the “Chevron doctrine” and demonstrated hostility towards the regulatory power of federal agencies could undermine the ability of the Environmental Protection Agency and other agencies to enforce safeguards against polluters. On April 7, the Senate approved Gorsuch’s confirmation by a vote of 54-45 (Senate roll call vote 111). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

12. EXTREME ASSAULT ON THE METHANE AND WASTE PREVENTION RULE (CRA)

Representative Rob Bishop (R-UT) sponsored H.J. Res. 36, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule, which would block efforts to reduce dangerous methane pollution released by the oil and gas industry on our public and tribal lands. The Bureau of Land Management’s (BLM) Methane Rule established commonsense standards that require oil and gas companies to deploy readily available, cost-effective measures to reduce methane lost through venting, flaring, and leaks. The rule helps to decrease the over \$300 million in natural gas that is wasted each year from our public and tribal lands and to provide up to \$800 million in royalty revenues to states, tribes, and federal taxpayers over the next decade. Additionally, the Methane Rule reduces the methane pollution that contributes to climate change as well as hazardous air pollutants that damage the health of local communities by contributing to increased asthma attacks and other respiratory ailments. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit BLM from ever issuing “substantially similar” regulations in the future. Following its passage in the House, on May 10, the Senate rejected H.J. Res. 36 by a vote of 49-51 (Senate roll call vote 125). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

13. BERNHARDT CONFIRMATION (DEPUTY SECRETARY, INTERIOR)

The Senate considered President Trump’s nomination of David Bernhardt to serve as deputy secretary of the Department of the Interior (DOI). This deputy secretary position is critical to protecting our treasured natural heritage for future generations, but Bernhardt’s record makes clear he would put our public lands, national monuments and parks, clean air and water, and wildlife at risk. Bernhardt’s long history of lobbying for many of the industries—including oil and gas, mining, and developers—under DOI’s regulatory purview creates numerous conflicts of interest. Additionally, Bernhardt’s career is marked by many instances of fighting against federal environmental safeguards, and he has been in close proximity to ethics scandals during his previous tenure at DOI. Finally, during his confirmation hearing, Bernhardt would not commit to leading the agency to tackle the urgent challenge of climate change and the dangers it poses to our natural resources. On July 24, the Senate confirmed Bernhardt to be deputy secretary of the Interior by a vote of 53-43 (Senate roll call vote 166). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

14. UNDERMINING FEDERAL SAFEGUARDS FOR PROTECTED SPECIES

Senator Mike Lee (R-UT) offered an amendment to H.Con.Res. 71, the Budget Resolution, which called for legislation to undermine the protection of plants and wildlife listed under the Endangered Species Act. The Lee amendment would strip federal safeguards for protected species found entirely within the borders of a single state, including all listed plants and animals in Hawaii. The fate of about 77 percent of all federally protected species would thus depend on state laws and funding, but states generally lack the programs and resources necessary to recover listed species and ultimately prevent their declines and extinctions. On October 19, the Senate rejected the Lee amendment by a vote of 49-51 (Senate roll call vote 242). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

15. PROTECTING THE ARCTIC REFUGE


Senator Maria Cantwell (D-WA) sponsored an amendment to H.Con.Res. 71, the Budget Resolution. This amendment would remove the budget reconciliation instructions that paved the way for drilling in the pristine and sacred Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. The indigenous Gwich'in people call the Arctic Refuge's coastal plain "the sacred place where life begins," reflecting the importance and the need to protect this special place. These reconciliation instructions for Arctic drilling were included in the Budget Resolution as an attempt to generate \$1 billion in government revenue to somehow justify \$1.5 trillion in tax cuts for the wealthy, but multiple analyses show that it is unlikely to raise anywhere close to even that amount. On October 19, the Senate rejected the Cantwell amendment by a vote of 48-52 (Senate roll call vote 243). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The budget resolution, including the Arctic Refuge drilling instructions was adopted on October 26.

16. BUDGET RESOLUTION PAVING THE WAY FOR DRILLING IN THE ARCTIC REFUGE

Representative Diane Black (R-TN) sponsored H.Con.Res. 71, the Budget Resolution, which authorized an increase in the deficit of \$1.5 trillion to enact the GOP tax bill and will likely result in cuts to safeguards for our air, water, lands and wildlife. The legislation paved the way for the tax bill to include a rider that turns the pristine and sacred Arctic National Wildlife Refuge into an industrial oil field. On October 19, the Senate approved H.Con.Res. 71 by a vote of 51-49 (Senate roll call vote 245). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed the Senate version of the budget on October 26 and the resolution was adopted.

17. EID CONFIRMATION (10TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Allison Eid to the Tenth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals. Lower court judges have an immense responsibility to protect the rights of the people of this country and to interpret the constitution without personal ideological influence. It is the lower court judges who often serve as the final arbiter of justice. Unfortunately, Eid has a disturbing history of siding with corporate polluters over the environment and public health. She has written academic pieces that applaud the outcome of the *Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. US Army Corps of Engineers* decision, which created confusion over the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act. In *Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission v. Grand Valley Citizens' Alliance*, Eid sided with oil



companies, allowing them to bypass public hearings and local input before obtaining drilling permits. On November 2, the Senate confirmed Eid to the Tenth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals by a vote of 56-41 (Senate roll call vote 259). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

18. WEHRUM CONFIRMATION (ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR, EPA)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of William Wehrum to serve as assistant administrator for the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation (OAR). This important office at the EPA plays a key role in protecting people from air pollution and radiation exposure, making this position critical to maintaining and enforcing public health safeguards. But Wehrum's long history of representing many of the industries—utilities, energy companies, and other major polluters—that are attempting to roll back safeguards issued under the Clean Air Act, make him unfit to serve in this position. Additionally, during his previous tenure at the OAR from 2001 to 2007, Wehrum led efforts to weaken safeguards to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants and other safeguards intended to reduce lead, fine particulate pollution, and ozone smog pollution. On November 9, the Senate confirmed Wehrum to be assistant administrator of OAR by a vote of 49-47 (Senate roll call vote 268). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

19. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL TAX BILL THAT OPENS DRILLING IN THE ARCTIC REFUGE

Representative Kevin Brady (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 1, the Tax Cut and Jobs Act, which sells out key environmental priorities to deliver a massive tax cut for millionaires, billionaires, and huge corporations. The bill increases the deficit by \$1.5 trillion, harming people nationwide by likely resulting in cuts to safeguards for our air, water, lands and wildlife. The legislation puts our clean energy future at risk while maintaining giveaways to fossil fuel interests. The conference report for H.R. 1 even turns the pristine and sacred Arctic National Wildlife Refuge into an industrial oil field. Following its passage in the House, on December 20, the Senate approved the conference report for H.R. 1 by a vote of 51-48 (Senate roll call vote 323). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** President Trump signed H.R. 1 into law on December 22.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2017		% 114th Congress		% Lifetime		1 Tillerson Confirmation (Secretary of State) 2 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA) 3 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA) 4 Sessions Confirmation (Attorney General) 5 Mulvaney Confirmation (OMB Director) 6 Pruitt Confirmation (EPA Administrator) 7 Zinke Confirmation (Interior Secretary) 8 Pery Confirmation (Energy Secretary) 9 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA) 10 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA) 11 Gorsuch Confirmation (Supreme Court) 12 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA) 13 Bernhardt Confirmation (Deputy Secretary, Interior) 14 Undermining Federal Safeguards for Protected Species 15 Protecting the Arctic Refuge 16 Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge 17 Eid Confirmation (10th Circuit Court of Appeals) 18 Wehrum Confirmation (Assistant Administrator, EPA) 19 Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge															
ALABAMA																							
Sessions*	R	N/A	2	6	✗	?	✗	?	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ						
Shelby	R	0	2	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
Strange**	R	0	0		Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
ALASKA																							
Murkowski	R	0	14	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
Sullivan	R	0	10	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
ARIZONA																							
Flake	R	0	2	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
McCain	R	11	7	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?						
ARKANSAS																							
Boozman	R	0	2	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
Cotton	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
CALIFORNIA																							
Feinstein	D	100	90	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
Harris, K.	D	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
COLORADO																							
Bennet	D	84	90	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓						
Gardner	R	0	21	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
CONNECTICUT																							
Blumenthal	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
Murphy, C.	D	95	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
DELAWARE																							
Carper	D	95	88	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
Coons	D	89	93	92	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
FLORIDA																							
Nelson	D	95	90	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
Rubio	R	0	2	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						
GEORGIA																							
Isakson	R	0	7	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗						
Perdue	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗						

* Senator Sessions resigned on February 8, 2017 after being confirmed as Attorney General of the United States.

**Senator Strange was sworn in on February 9, 2017.

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2017			LCV SCORES																			
		% 114th Congress	% Lifetime		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
					Tillerson Confirmation (Secretary of State)	Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	Sessions Confirmation (Attorney General)	Mulvaney Confirmation (OMB Director)	Pruitt Confirmation (EPA Administrator)	Zinke Confirmation (Interior Secretary)	Perry Confirmation (Energy Secretary)	Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	Gorsuch Confirmation (Supreme Court)	Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	Bernhardt Confirmation (Deputy Secretary, Interior)	Undermining Federal Safeguards for Protected Species	Protecting the Arctic Refuge	Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Eid Confirmation (10th Circuit Court of Appeals)	Wehrum Confirmation (Assistant Administrator, EPA)	Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	
HAWAII																								
Hirono	D	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Schatz	D	100	98	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
IDAHO																								
Crapo	R	0	5	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Risch	R	0	5	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ILLINOIS																								
Duckworth	D	100	92	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Durbin	D	100	100	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
INDIANA																								
Donnelly	D	63	71	59	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
Young, T.	R	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
IOWA																								
Ernst	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Grassley	R	0	5	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
KANSAS																								
Moran	R	0	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Roberts	R	0	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
KENTUCKY																								
McConnell	R	0	5	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Paul	R	5	10	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗
LOUISIANA																								
Cassidy	R	0	7	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Kennedy, John	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MAINE																								
Collins	R	32	67	63	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
King, A.	I	74	98	98	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MARYLAND																								
Cardin	D	100	98	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Van Hollen	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
					Tillerson Confirmation (Secretary of State)	Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	Sessions Confirmation (Attorney General)	Mulvaney Confirmation (OMB Director)	Pruitt Confirmation (EPA Administrator)	Zinke Confirmation (Interior Secretary)	Perry Confirmation (Energy Secretary)	Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	Gorsuch Confirmation (Supreme Court)	Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	Bernhardt Confirmation (Deputy Secretary, Interior)	Undermining Federal Safeguards for Protected Species	Protecting the Arctic Refuge	Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Eid Confirmation (10th Circuit Court of Appeals)	Wehrum Confirmation (Assistant Administrator, EPA)	Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge
MASSACHUSETTS																							
Markey	D	95	100	94	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Warren	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MICHIGAN																							
Peters, G.	D	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Stabenow	D	95	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MINNESOTA																							
Franken	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Klobuchar	D	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MISSISSIPPI																							
Cochran	R	0	10	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Wicker	R	0	5	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MISSOURI																							
Blunt	R	0	12	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
McCaskill	D	79	79	74	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
MONTANA																							
Daines	R	0	5	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Tester	D	84	86	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
NEBRASKA																							
Fischer	R	0	2	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Sasse	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NEVADA																							
Cortez Masto	D	89		89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Heller	R	0	14	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NEW HAMPSHIRE																							
Hassan	D	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Shaheen	D	100	98	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW JERSEY																							
Booker	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Menendez	D	89	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2017			LCV SCORES																			
		% 114th Congress	% Lifetime		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
					Tillerson Confirmation (Secretary of State)	Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	Sessions Confirmation (Attorney General)	Mulvaney Confirmation (OMB Director)	Pruitt Confirmation (EPA Administrator)	Zinke Confirmation (Interior Secretary)	Perry Confirmation (Energy Secretary)	Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	Gorsuch Confirmation (Supreme Court)	Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	Bernhardt Confirmation (Deputy Secretary, Interior)	Undermining Federal Safeguards for Protected Species	Protecting the Arctic Refuge	Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	Eid Confirmation (10th Circuit Court of Appeals)	Wehrum Confirmation (Assistant Administrator, EPA)	Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	
NEW MEXICO																								
Heinrich	D	95	98	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Udall	D	89	95	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NEW YORK																								
Gillibrand	D	100	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Schumer	D	100	100	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NORTH CAROLINA																								
Burr	R	0	12	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Tillis	R	0	10	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NORTH DAKOTA																								
Heitkamp	D	58	45	52	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
Hoeven	R	0	5	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OHIO																								
Brown, S.	D	95	98	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Portman	R	0	19	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OKLAHOMA																								
Inhofe	R	0	5	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Lankford	R	0	5	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OREGON																								
Merkley	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Wyden	D	95	95	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PENNSYLVANIA																								
Casey	D	100	93	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Toomey	R	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
RHODE ISLAND																								
Reed, J.	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Whitehouse	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA																								
Graham, L.	R	5	19	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Scott, T.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2017 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1. ATTACK ON PUBLIC PROTECTIONS

Representative Darrell Issa (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 21, the Midnight Rules Relief Act of 2017, which would threaten public health protections by allowing en bloc disapproval of all regulations finalized near the end of presidential terms. H.R. 21 was based on the unfounded premise that these rules are somehow rushed or not vetted, when in reality these rules are often years in the making and involve extensive public input to provide the strongest public protections. H.R. 21 would allow a multitude of rules to be overturned—including protections for our air and water—using an expedited legislative process under the Congressional Review Act, which by design, is rushed, lacks transparency, has limited debate, and will be bad for public health. On January 4, the House approved H.R. 21 by a vote of 238-184 (House roll call vote 8). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

2. SAFEGUARDS SHUTDOWN


Representative Doug Collins (R-GA) sponsored H.R. 26, the Regulations from the Executive in Need of Scrutiny (REINS) Act of 2017, which would delay or shut down the implementation of vital public health and environmental safeguards that prevent industries from emitting toxins into our air and water. H.R. 26—which requires both houses of Congress to approve all significant new public protections before they take effect—is redundant and unnecessary, since federal agencies generally operate under authority that Congress has already approved in legislation. H.R. 26 is a tool for industry to scuttle new health and environmental safeguards, which would lead to more premature deaths, illnesses, and other serious health impacts. On January 5, the House approved H.R. 26 by a vote of 237-187 (House roll call vote 23). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

3. SIGNIFICANTLY HINDERING NEW PUBLIC PROTECTIONS

Representative Bob Goodlatte (R-VA) sponsored H.R. 5, the Regulatory Accountability Act of 2017, which would add massive analytical burdens to the already lengthy process that federal agencies go through when developing new safeguards and regulations, including those that protect public health and the environment. It would also create endless opportunities to slow the regulatory process through legal challenges, which would shift the balance of power to deep-pocketed corporate interests who oppose new safeguards. On January 11, the House approved H.R. 5 by a vote of 238-183 (House roll call vote 45). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

4. ASSAULT ON ANTI-CORRUPTION RULE FOR FOSSIL FUEL COMPANIES (CRA)

Representative Bill Huizenga (R-MI) sponsored H.J. Res. 41, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the Security and Exchange Commission’s (SEC) rule requiring fossil fuel companies to publicly disclose payments to governments in exchange for oil, gas, and minerals. This rule helped bring transparency to the flow of money between fossil fuel companies on the U.S. stock exchanges and U.S. and foreign governments, deterring corruption and mismanagement among all parties. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit the SEC from ever issuing “substantially similar” regulations in



the future. On February 1, the House approved H.J. Res. 41 by a vote of 235-187 (House roll call vote 72). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate passed H.J. Res. 41 on February 3 and President Trump signed this resolution into law on February 14.

5. EXTREME ASSAULT ON THE STREAM PROTECTION RULE (CRA)

Representative Bill Johnson (R-OH) sponsored H.J. Res. 38, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the Stream Protection Rule, which would threaten the drinking water and public health of communities living near coal mining operations by permanently blocking the Department of the Interior’s (DOI) Stream Protection Rule. This important rule set commonsense requirements for coal mining to better protect groundwater, surface water, and ecosystems from toxic coal mining waste, which has been linked to increased rates of cancer, birth defects, and other health problems in nearby communities. The rule protects 6,000 miles of streams and 52,000 acres of forests, sets up new requirements for water quality monitoring and restoration, and generally compels coal mining companies to reduce their impact on the surrounding environment. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit DOI from ever issuing “substantially similar” regulations in the future. On February 1, the House approved H.J. Res. 38 by a vote of 228-194 (House roll call vote 73). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate passed H.J. Res. 38 on February 2 and President Trump signed this resolution into law on February 16.

6. EXTREME ASSAULT ON METHANE AND WASTE PREVENTION RULE (CRA)

Representative Rob Bishop (R-UT) sponsored H.J. Res. 36, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule, which would block efforts to reduce dangerous methane pollution released by the oil and gas industry on our public and tribal lands. The Bureau of Land Management’s (BLM) Methane Rule established commonsense standards that require oil and gas companies to deploy readily available, cost-effective measures to reduce methane lost through venting, flaring, and leaks. The rule helps to decrease the over \$300 million in natural gas that is wasted each year from our public and tribal lands and to provide up to \$800 million in royalty revenues to states, tribes, and federal taxpayers over the next decade. Additionally, the Methane Rule reduces the methane pollution that contributes to climate change as well as hazardous air pollutants that damage the health of local communities by contributing to increased asthma attacks and other respiratory ailments. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit BLM from ever issuing “substantially similar” regulations in the future. On February 3, the House approved H.J. Res. 36 by a vote of 221-191 (House roll call vote 78). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** On May 10, the Senate rejected H.J. Res. 36.

7. BLOCKING LOCAL INPUT ABOUT PUBLIC LANDS (CRA)

Representative Liz Cheney (R-WY) sponsored H.J. Res 44, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the Bureau of Land Management’s (BLM) “Planning 2.0” Rule. The “Planning 2.0” Rule allows BLM—which is responsible for managing more than 245 million acres of public lands—to approach the management of public lands in a more holistic way that considers conservation and outdoor recreation needs by increasing the participation of local stakeholders and engaging them earlier in the planning process. Repealing this rule would result in BLM reverting back to an outdated process that has not been updated for over three decades. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit BLM from ever issuing “substantially similar” regulations in the future. On February 7, the House approved H.J. Res 44 by a vote of 234-186 (House roll call vote 83). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate approved H.J. Res. 44 on March 7 and President Trump signed this resolution into law on March 27.

8. BLOCKING WILDLIFE PROTECTIONS IN ALASKA (CRA)

Representative Don Young (R-AK) sponsored H.J. Res 69, the Congressional Review Act “Resolution of Disapproval” of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s (FWS) Alaska National Wildlife Refuges Rule. This rule protects wildlife from the intensive predator control policy designed by the state of Alaska, which aims to significantly suppress the populations of native carnivores in order to artificially inflate game populations. The predator control policy is not based on sound science and permits extreme and inhumane practices. These practices include killing wolves and bears in their dens, bear baiting, and using airplanes to scout and hunt bears. This use of the Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit FWS from ever issuing “substantially similar” regulations in the future. On February 16, the House approved H.J. Res 69 by a vote of 225-193 (House roll call vote 98). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate approved H.J. Res. 69 on March 21 and President Trump signed this resolution into law on April 3.

9. ASSAULT ON ENVIRONMENTAL SAFEGUARDS

Representative Jason Smith (R-MO) sponsored H.R. 998, the Searching for and Cutting Regulations that are Unnecessarily Burdensome (SCRUB) Act, which would jeopardize critical environmental safeguards that have been in place for decades and make it extremely difficult to develop new standards in response to threats to public health and the environment. Under the guise of regulatory reform, this legislation creates a regulatory review commission that would disregard the public benefits of environmental safeguards and only consider the costs to industries. This bill also creates a misguided “cut-go” system for safeguards, requiring any agency issuing a new safeguard to remove an existing safeguard of equal or greater cost, which would result in key public health protections being eliminated. On March 1, the House approved H.R. 998 by a vote of 240-185 (House roll call vote 114). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

10. ATTACK ON EPA SCIENCE

Representative Lamar Smith (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 1430, the Honest and Open New EPA Science Treatment (HONEST) Act of 2017, which would endanger public health by making it extremely difficult for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to use the best available science. The bill would restrict the health studies that the EPA can use by requiring that data be shared with anyone willing to sign a vague confidentiality agreement. These provisions would severely limit the EPA's ability to use data that includes studies with confidential health information, which are the basis for the best research on the health effects of pollution. This legislation would result in less effective public health protections. On March 29, the House approved H.R. 1430 by a vote of 228-194 (House roll call vote 206). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

11. UNDERMINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE EPA


Representative Frank Lucas (R-OK) sponsored H.R. 1431, the EPA Science Advisory Board Reform Act of 2017, which would undermine the ability of the Science Advisory Board to provide independent, objective, and credible scientific advice to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This bill would weaken conflict-of-interest protections and would arbitrarily limit the participation of subject matter experts. This legislation would also allow industry to significantly prolong the scientific review process, which would delay key public health and environmental protections. On March 30, the House approved H.R. 1431 by a vote of 229-193 (House roll call vote 208). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

12. PESTICIDES POLLUTION

Representative Bob Gibbs (R-OH) introduced H.R. 953, the Reducing Regulatory Burdens Act of 2017, which would eliminate Clean Water Act safeguards that protect communities from toxic pesticide exposure that occurs when pesticides are discharged directly into bodies of water without any meaningful oversight or public transparency. While this legislation has been framed as a response to the Zika and West Nile viruses, it is simply a handout to pesticide manufacturers and other corporate interests, and it is unnecessary in addressing the Zika virus or other mosquito-borne health threats. On May 24, the House passed H.R. 953 by a vote of 256-165 (House roll call vote 282). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

13. UNDERMINING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW ON WATER PROJECTS

Representative Tom McClintock (R-CA) introduced H.R. 1654, the Water Supply Permitting Coordination Act, which would undermine the process for review and public input on water projects throughout the West. This legislation would shift control of all environmental reviews, including those under the Endangered Species Act, for new dams and surface water storage projects to the Bureau of Reclamation and away from agencies like the Fish and Wildlife Service, which could seriously jeopardize fish and wildlife populations. Furthermore, the bill would undermine the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) by setting strict, abbreviated timelines for the completion of environmental impact studies, fast-tracking project proposals in order to minimize scrutiny of a project's effects on the lo-



cal environment as well as public input. Lastly, the bill would allow private entities to pay to expedite project permitting, throwing the impartiality of the federal government's review into question. On June 22, the House approved H.R. 1654 by a vote of 233-180 (House roll call vote 319). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

14. CALIFORNIA WATER RESOURCES

Representative David Valadao (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 23, the Gaining Responsibility on Water Act of 2017, which would weaken the protections for fish, birds, and other wildlife in California's Bay-Delta. This legislation would undermine the Endangered Species Act, jeopardizing populations of salmon and other local fisheries; threaten the habitat of millions of birds by reducing funding sources and undermining the water rights of wildlife refuges; and put at risk thousands of jobs that rely on a healthy Bay-Delta. This bill would also negatively impact other Western states by reducing public input and review of water projects, cutting out agency expertise during environmental review, and limiting state and federal government abilities to manage and protect water resources. Lastly, this legislation undermines provisions in the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act designed to manage California water operations, which required compliance with the Endangered Species Act as well as state laws. On July 12, the House approved H.R. 23 by a vote of 230-190 (House roll call vote 352). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

15. NATIONAL SECURITY THREAT OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Representative Scott Perry (R-PA) offered an amendment to H.R. 2810, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018, which would have stripped out language directing the Department of Defense to prepare for the effects of climate change. The Pentagon has long warned that climate change is a grave threat to our country's national security, and military experts, including the secretary of defense, say climate change threatens our military readiness. On July 13, the House rejected the Perry amendment by a vote of 185-234 (House roll call vote 368). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

16. ATTACK ON SMOG PROTECTIONS & THE CLEAN AIR ACT

Representative Pete Olson (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 806, the Ozone Standards Implementation Act of 2017, which would delay the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) recently-updated standards for ozone pollution and eviscerate a central pillar of the Clean Air Act. This legislation would allow the EPA to consider factors unrelated to health, like technical feasibility, in the initial standard setting process. This bill would also delay the EPA's ozone standards by at least ten years and double the current five-year review periods for updating all national air quality standards, allowing unhealthy air to persist even longer. On July 18, the House approved H.R. 806 by a vote of 229-199 (House roll call vote 391). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

17. GUTTING PIPELINE REVIEW

Representative Markwayne Mullin (R-OK) sponsored H.R. 2883, the Promoting Cross-Border Energy Infrastructure Act, a bill that would effectively exempt cross-border pipelines from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act. This legislation narrows environmental review to the cross-border segment of proposed pipelines, and it eliminates the need to justify these pipelines as being in the national interest. The bill undermines the federal government's ability to fully assess the environmental impacts of proposed pipelines. On July 19, the House approved H.R. 2883 by a vote of 254-175 (House roll call vote 398). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

18. ATTACKING WILDERNESS IN THE IZEMBEK NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE


Representative Don Young (R-AK) sponsored H.R. 218, the King Cove Road Land Exchange Act, a radical bill that allows a road to be built through the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge and Izembek Wilderness without environmental review. This legislation removes protections and transfers hundreds of acres of wilderness in the refuge in exchange for lower quality state land, and it allows for construction of a road through the previously protected land. The proposed road would be built through critical lands that protect key habitat for species including bears, caribou, salmon, and many different species of birds (including most of the world's population of Pacific black brant and emperor geese). Building this road through the refuge and critical wetlands is a misguided attack that sets an alarming precedent for wildlife refuges and wilderness areas throughout the country, especially because most of the transferred area is protected under the Wilderness Act—the highest level of protection for federal lands. On July 20, the House approved H.R. 218 by a vote of 248-179 (House roll call vote 406). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

19. ASSAULT ON CLEAN ENERGY & CLEAN WATER

Representative Kay Granger (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 3219, the Make America Secure Appropriations Act, 2018, which includes dangerous public health and environmental policy riders while also cutting critical investments in our renewable energy future. This bill attacks the government's ability to assess the real costs of the impacts of climate change, slashes funding for clean energy and energy efficiency, and includes a radical provision exempting the administration's repeal of the Clean Water Rule from long-standing requirements under the law. It also contains a rider that would stop the implementation of the beneficial National Ocean Policy, which allows agencies at all levels to coordinate ocean development activities. On July 27, the House approved H.R. 3219 by a vote of 235-192 (House roll call vote 435). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

20. FUNDING ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

Representative Raul Grijalva (D-AZ) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would restore funding to the EPA's Environmental Justice Program after the Trump administration proposed to eliminate it in its FY18 Budget Request. This critical and successful program helps low-income and communities of



color overcome the disproportionate impacts of exposure to hazardous pollution and environmental contamination, burdens that have been historically placed on these communities. This program is essential to improving the health and quality of life for those who face deeply rooted disadvantages. On September 7, the House rejected the Grijalva amendment by a vote of 190-218 (House roll call vote 473). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 3354 on September 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

21. PROTECTING STRONG OZONE STANDARDS

Representative Keith Ellison (D-MN) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would strike language in the bill that needlessly delays the implementation of a stronger ozone—or smog—standard until 2025. This standard was finalized in October of 2015 and would help clean up the air for millions of people currently living in areas with unsafe air quality. On September 7, the House rejected the Ellison amendment by a vote of 194-218 (House roll call vote 476). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 3354 on September 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

22. UNDERMINING PROTECTIONS FOR THE CHESAPEAKE BAY

Representative Bob Goodlatte (R-VA) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would undermine the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) ability to protect and improve the health of the 64,000-square mile Chesapeake Bay watershed, which covers six states and the District of Columbia. The EPA’s authority to administer penalties on states that do not live up to their pollution reduction commitments is critical to ensuring the success of this historic federal-state partnership to restore the Chesapeake Bay and protect the treasured estuary that over 18 million residents rely on. On September 7, the House approved the Goodlatte amendment by a vote of 214-197 (House roll call vote 479). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 3354 on September 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

23. UNDERMINING EPA CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT

Representative Gary Palmer (R-AL) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would defund the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) criminal law enforcement activities. This amendment would undermine the EPA’s ability to issue warrants and arrest criminals for violating criminal laws, and it would make it easier for polluters to get away with egregious criminal environmental activities that cause serious risks to human health. On September 8, the House rejected the Palmer amendment by a vote of 178-227 (House roll call vote 482). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

24. METHANE POLLUTION SAFEGUARDS

Representative Markwayne Mullin (R-OK) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would prevent the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from implementing its standards to reduce methane pollution from new and modified sources in the oil and gas industry. The EPA's methane standard requires compliance with low-cost, proven safeguards that are critical to reducing methane's contributions to climate change. The climate benefits are estimated to reach \$170 million by 2025 while also curbing toxic air pollutants that contribute to smog and jeopardize the health of nearby communities. On September 13, the House approved the Mullin amendment by a vote of 218-195 (House roll call vote 488). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 3354 on September 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

25. RECOGNIZING THE COST OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Representative Markwayne Mullin (R-OK) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would prohibit funds for implementing the Obama administration's Social Cost of Carbon Rule, a rule requiring analysis of the real economic impacts, positive or negative, of the carbon pollution of a project or proposed rule. The Social Cost of Carbon is a critical tool that helps the public and decision makers understand the true benefits and costs of a project and the possible ways to mitigate negative impacts. Weakening or eliminating funding for its use, which is what this amendment would do, ignores the costs of these emissions, putting critical infrastructure, taxpayer dollars, and local communities' health at risk. On September 13, the House approved the Mullin amendment by a vote of 225-186 (House roll call vote 489). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 3354 on September 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

26. TRANSFERRING PUBLIC LANDS TO PRIVATE OWNERS

Representative Jared Polis (D-CO) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would prevent federal public lands from being transferred to private owners in violation of existing laws. The amendment comes at a time when extremists in Congress and in numerous state legislatures are pushing for the sale or transfer of America's majestic public lands to states and other entities, where they could be leased or sold off for harmful development. On September 13, the House rejected the Polis amendment by a vote of 198-212 (House roll call vote 491). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

27. SLASHING EPA FUNDING

Representative Ralph Norman (R-SC) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would reduce the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) budget by approximately \$1.9 billion, in accordance with the Trump administration's rejected budget proposal. This amendment would severely weaken the EPA's ability to enforce clean air, clean water, and public health safeguards for communities. On September 13, the House rejected the Norman amendment by a vote of 151-260 (House roll call vote 492). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

28. UNDERMINING THE NATIONAL OCEAN POLICY

Representative Bill Flores (R-TX) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would add a harmful rider undermining the National Ocean Policy. The National Ocean Policy directs government agencies with differing mandates to work collaboratively to improve ocean health and also ensures that all competing interests—including conservationists, fishermen, scientists, shipping companies, port managers, energy developers, and those who live and work in ocean communities—have a voice in creating solutions for the many problems facing our oceans. This harmful rider would weaken the ability of federal agencies like the Bureau of Ocean Energy (BOEM) to coordinate with states and local communities to solve their ocean management challenges. On September 13, the House approved the Flores amendment by a vote of 216-199 (House roll call vote 497). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 3354 on September 14, but the Senate took no action on this legislation.

29. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL SPENDING BILL

Representative Ken Calvert (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would harm people's health and the outdoors by slashing funding for many critical programs and by using radical policy riders to outright block environmental protections. This spending bill contained damaging cuts to programs that protect public health and fuel our outdoor economy, such as the more than \$500 million cut to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the 32 percent cut to the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Additionally, this legislation contained a slew of anti-environmental and other ideological policy riders, including a measure that would allow the EPA to bypass the law and hide its repeal of drinking water protections for 117 million people. On September 14, the House approved H.R. 3354 by a vote of 211-198 (House roll call vote 528). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

30. DELAYING THE RESOLUTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL COURT CASES

Representative Doug Collins (R-GA) sponsored H.R. 469, the Congressional Article I Powers Strengthening Act, which would weaken environmental protections by undermining the federal rulemaking process and citizen enforcement of federal laws. This legislation prescribes a host of burdensome and sometimes ambiguous steps for courts and parties that would favor continued litigation over settlement. This bill would delay and obstruct the resolution of environmental protection cases before federal courts. On October 25, the House approved H.R. 469 by a vote of 234-187 (House roll call vote 588). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

31. BUDGET RESOLUTION PAVING THE WAY FOR DRILLING IN THE ARCTIC REFUGE

Representative Diane Black (R-TN) sponsored H. Con. Res. 71, the Budget Resolution, which authorized an increase in the deficit of \$1.5 trillion to enact the GOP tax bill and will likely result in cuts to safeguards for our air, water, lands and wildlife. The legislation paved the way for the tax bill to include a rider that turns the pristine and sacred Arctic National Wildlife Refuge into an industrial oil field. Following its passage in the Senate, on October 26, the House approved H. Con. Res. 71 by a vote of 216-212 (House roll call vote 589). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** Both chambers having passed the same version of the budget, the resolution was adopted.

32. FOREST MANAGEMENT AND PUBLIC INPUT

Representative Bruce Westerman (R-AR) sponsored H.R. 2936, the Resilient Federal Forests Act of 2017, which would severely undermine sustainable forest management policies and roll back critical environmental safeguards for our nation's forests. This legislation would allow large-scale timber projects to skip needed environmental reviews and would expand exclusions ideally meant for non-controversial forest management practices. Additionally, the bill reduces public participation and input in the environmental review process, waives the ability of citizens to challenge harmful logging projects in court, and limits the rights of citizens to recover attorneys' fees when they prevail in court. On November 1, the House approved H.R. 2936 by a vote of 232-188 (House roll call vote 598). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

33. PRIORITIZING POWER COMPANIES DURING HYDROPOWER LICENSING

Representative Cathy McMorris Rodgers (R-WA) sponsored H.R. 3043, the Hydropower Policy Modernization Act of 2017, which would undermine the balance of power in hydropower licensing by prioritizing the profits of power companies over the health of our rivers and the people, wildlife, and communities that depend on them. This bill would allow the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to dictate an aggressive licensing schedule, forcing federal and state agencies to choose between meeting expedited deadlines without the necessary information for decision-making or forgoing their independent authorities under the Clean Water Act and Endangered Species Act. Additionally, the bill would require federal agencies to conduct costly and wasteful reviews of matters outside of their scope of expertise while also mandating that scientific decisions be made only by political appointees in Washington, DC. This legislation is simply a massive giveaway to special interests and would make it more difficult to protect water quality, recover threatened and endangered species, and manage tribal-trust resources and public lands. On November 8, the House approved H.R. 3043 by a vote of 257-166 (House roll call vote 620). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

34. ATTACK ON MINNESOTA'S NATIONAL FORESTS

Representative Tom Emmer (R-MN) sponsored H.R. 3905, the Minnesota's Economic Rights in the Superior National Forest Act, which would allow mining on the edge of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness and undermine key environmental protections for the Boundary Waters, Voyageurs National Park, and the Superior and Chippewa National Forests. Among many harmful provisions, H.R. 3905 would waive normal environmental review and public input requirements under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and it would grant two federal mineral leases that were previously denied by the U.S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management. This legislation would also add significant barriers to permanently protecting areas as national monuments under the Antiquities Act in Minnesota's national forests. On November 30, the House approved H.R. 3905 by a vote of 216-204 (House roll call vote 643). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

35. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL TAX BILL THAT OPENS DRILLING IN THE ARCTIC REFUGE

Representative Kevin Brady (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 1, the Tax Cut and Jobs Act, which sells out key environmental priorities to deliver a massive tax cut for millionaires, billionaires, and huge corporations. The bill increases the deficit by \$1.5 trillion, harming people nationwide by likely resulting in cuts to safeguards for our air, water, lands and wildlife. The legislation puts our clean energy future at risk while maintaining giveaways to fossil fuel interests. The conference report for H.R. 1 even turns the pristine and sacred Arctic National Wildlife Refuge into an industrial oil field. On December 20, the House approved the conference report for H.R. 1 by a vote of 224-201 (House roll call vote 699). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate passed H.R. 1 later that same day and President Trump signed this legislation into law on December 22.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2017

% 114th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Attack on Public Protections

2 Safeguards Shutdown

3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections

4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)

5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)

6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)

7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)

8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)

9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards

10 Attack on EPA Science

11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA

12 Pesticides Pollution

13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects

14 California Water Resources

ALABAMA

Rank	Name	Party	% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	Byrne	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Roby	R	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Rogers, M.	R	0	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
4	Aderholt	R	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Brooks, M.	R	6	5	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Palmer	R	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Sewell	D	91	77	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

ALASKA

AL	Young, Don	R	0	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
----	------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

ARIZONA

Rank	Name	Party	% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	O'Halleran	D	91		91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	McSally	R	11	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
3	Grijalva	D	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Gosar	R	0	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
5	Biggs	R	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Schweikert	R	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Gallego*	D	91	97	95	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Franks**	R	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Sinema	D	80	74	78	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓

ARKANSAS

1	Crawford	R	0	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Hill	R	3	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Womack	R	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Westerman	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

CALIFORNIA

1	LaMalfa	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Huffman	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Garamendi	D	94	89	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

* Representative Gallego entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 8 and 23, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

** Representative Franks resigned on December 8, 2017.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Attack on Public Protections	2 Safeguards Shutdown	3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards	10 Attack on EPA Science	11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	12 Pesticides Pollution	13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	14 California Water Resources
4	McClintock	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Thompson, M.*	D	94	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Matsui	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Bera	D	100	92	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Cook	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	McNerney	D	97	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Denham	R	6	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	DeSaulnier	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Pelosi	D	94	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
13	Lee, B.	D	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Speier	D	100	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Swalwell**	D	97	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
16	Costa†	D	31	49	47	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
17	Khanna‡	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
18	Eshoo	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Lofgren	D	100	84	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	Panetta	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Valadao	R	6	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Nunes	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	McCarthy	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Carbajal	D	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
25	Knight	R	9	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Brownley	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27	Chu	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Schiff	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29	Cárdenas	D	97	89	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Sherman	D	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31	Aguilar	D	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Thompson entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 588 and 589, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

** Representative Swalwell entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 282, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

† Representative Costa missed a number of votes due to recovery from a medical procedure.

‡ Representative Khanna entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 352, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
			1 Attack on Public Protections 2 Safeguards Shutdown 3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections 4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA) 5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA) 6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA) 7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA) 8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA) 9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards 10 Attack on EPA Science 11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA 12 Pesticides Pollution 13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects 14 California Water Resources																
32	Napolitano*	D	77	93	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?
33	Lieu**	D	91	90	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?
34	Becerra†	D	N/A	92	91	?	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
34	Gomez‡	D	95		95	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
35	Torres	D	97	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Ruiz	D	100	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	Bass	D	97	90	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
38	Sánchez, Linda	D	100	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
39	Royce	R	9	3	11	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
40	Roybal-Allard§	D	94	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
41	Takano	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
42	Calvert	R	6	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
43	Waters	D	94	93	91	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
44	Barragán	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
45	Walters	R	3	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
46	Correa	D	91		91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
47	Lowenthal	D	97	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	Rohrabacher	R	9	1	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
49	Issa	R	9	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
50	Hunter	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
51	Vargas	D	100	99	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
52	Peters, S.	D	97	93	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
53	Davis, S.	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
COLORADO																			
1	DeGette	D	89	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Polis	D	100	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Napolitano entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on every scored vote she missed, which all would have been scored as pro-environment.

** Representative Lieu missed roll call vote 319 due to a family matter and roll call votes 352 and 368 due to Air Force Reserve Duty.

† Representative Becerra resigned on January 24, 2017 to serve as Attorney General of California. He entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 8, 23, and 45, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

‡ Representative Gomez was sworn in on July 11, 2017.

§ Representative Roybal-Allard entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 528 and 620, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

|| Representative Lowenthal entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 588, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

Issue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35			
16 National Security Threat of Climate Change	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
16 Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
17 Gutting Pipeline Review	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
18 Attacking Wilderness in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
19 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
20 Funding Environmental Justice	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
21 Protecting Strong Ozone Standards	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
22 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
23 Undermining EPA Criminal Enforcement	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
24 Methane Pollution Safeguards	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
25 Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26 Transferring Public Lands to Private Owners	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27 Slashing EPA Funding	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28 Undermining the National Ocean Policy	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
29 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
31 Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
32 Forest Management and Public Input	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
33 Prioritizing Power Companies During Hydropower Licensing	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34 Attack on Minnesota's National Forests	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35 Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
						Attack on Public Protections	Safeguards Shutdown	Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	Assault on Environmental Safeguards	Attack on EPA Science	Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	Pesticides Pollution	Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	California Water Resources	
3	Tipton	R	6	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Buck	R	6	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Lamborn	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Coffman	R	6	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Perlmutter	D	100	90	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CONNECTICUT																				
1	Larson, J.*	D	97	99	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Courtney**	D	97	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
3	DeLauro†	D	77	97	95	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Himes	D	100	93	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Esty	D	100	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
DELAWARE																				
AL	Blunt Rochester	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
FLORIDA																				
1	Gaetz	R	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Dunn	R	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yoho	R	3	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
4	Rutherford‡	R	3		3	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Lawson‡	D	69		69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
6	DeSantis‡	R	3	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Murphy	D	91		91	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Posey‡	R	0	5	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Soto	D	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Demings	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Webster‡	R	3	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Bilirakis‡	R	0	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Crist‡	D	83		83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Castor‡	D	86	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Ross‡	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representative Larson entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 528, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

**Representative Courtney entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 352, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

† Representative DeLauro entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 488, 489, 491, 492, 497, and 528, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

‡ Representatives from Florida missed multiple votes in September while the state was preparing for and dealing with the devastating impacts of Hurricane Irma.

15	National Security Threat of Climate Change	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
16	Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
17	Gutting Pipeline Review	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
18	Attacking Wilderness in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
19	Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
20	Funding Environmental Justice	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
21	Protecting Strong Ozone Standards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
22	Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
23	Undermining EPA Criminal Enforcement	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
24	Methane Pollution Safeguards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
25	Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
26	Transferring Public Lands to Private Owners	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
27	Slashing EPA Funding	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
28	Undermining the National Ocean Policy	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
29	Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
30	Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
31	Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
32	Forest Management and Public Input	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
33	Prioritizing Power Companies During Hydropower Licensing	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
34	Attack on Minnesota's National Forests	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
35	Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
						Attack on Public Protections	Safeguards Shutdown	Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	Assault on Environmental Safeguards	Attack on EPA Science	Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	Pesticides Pollution	Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	California Water Resources
16	Buchanan*	R	9	23	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Rooney, T.*	R	6	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Mast	R	23		23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Rooney, F.*	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Hastings	D	94	66	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Frankel	D	94	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Deutch*	D	89	84	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	Wasserman Schultz*	D	86	95	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
24	Wilson, F.**	D	86	96	90	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
25	Diaz-Balart*	R	6	5	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Curbelo*	R	23	38	33	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
27	Ros-Lehtinen*	R	23	30	33	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗

GEORGIA

1	Carter, E.L.	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bishop, S.	D	69	51	50	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
3	Ferguson	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Johnson, H.	D	97	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Lewis	D	100	90	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Handel†	R	0		0	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	✗
6	Price‡	R	N/A	0	4	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ
7	Woodall	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Scott, A.	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Collins, D.	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Hice	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Loudermilk	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Allen	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Scott, D.	D	89	81	81	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
14	Graves, T.	R	3	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representatives from Florida missed multiple votes in September while the state was preparing for and dealing with the devastating impacts of Hurricane Irma.
 ** Representative Wilson entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 83, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
 † Representative Handel was sworn in on June 26, 2017.
 ‡ Representative Price resigned on February 10, 2017 to serve as Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Issue	Rep	Dem	Green	Cons	Mod	Lib	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other	Other
65 National Security Threat of Climate Change	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
66 Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
67 Gutting Pipeline Review	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
68 Attacking Wilderness in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
69 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
70 Funding Environmental Justice	?	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
71 Protecting Strong Ozone Standards	?	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
72 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	?	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
73 Undermining EPA Criminal Enforcement	?	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
74 Methane Pollution Safeguards	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
75 Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
76 Transferring Public Lands to Private Owners	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
77 Slashing EPA Funding	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
78 Undermining the National Ocean Policy	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
79 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	✗	?	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
80 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	✗	?	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
81 Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
82 Forest Management and Public Input	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
83 Prioritizing Power Companies During Hydropower Licensing	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
84 Attack on Minnesota's National Forests	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
85 Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗

✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2017

% 114th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Attack on Public Protections

2 Safeguards Shutdown

3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections

4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)

5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)

6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)

7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)

8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)

9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards

10 Attack on EPA Science

11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA

12 Pesticides Pollution

13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects

14 California Water Resources

HAWAII

1	Hanabusa	D	97	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Gabbard	D	91	100	96	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓

IDAHO

1	Labrador	R	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Simpson	R	9	8	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

ILLINOIS

1	Rush	D	69	84	79	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	?	?	✓	✓	✓
2	Kelly, R.	D	100	89	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Lipinski	D	100	90	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Gutiérrez	D	97	93	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
5	Quigley	D	97	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
6	Roskam	R	3	4	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Davis, D.	D	94	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Krishnamoorthi	D	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Schakowsky	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Schneider	D	100		94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Foster	D	100	99	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Bost	R	6	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Davis, R.*	R	9	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
14	Hultgren	R	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Shimkus	R	6	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Kinzinger	R	6	7	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Bustos	D	94	90	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
18	LaHood	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

INDIANA

1	Visclosky	D	100	97	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walorski	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Banks	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Rokita	R	6	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representative Davis entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 368, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Attack on Public Protections	2 Safeguards Shutdown	3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards	10 Attack on EPA Science	11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	12 Pesticides Pollution	13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	14 California Water Resources
5	Brooks, S.	R	9	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Messer	R	3	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Carson	D	91	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
8	Bucshon	R	6	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Hollingsworth	R	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
IOWA																			
1	Blum	R	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Loeb sack	D	94	96	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
3	Young, David	R	3	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	King, S.	R	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
KANSAS																			
1	Marshall	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Jenkins, L.	R	3	1	3	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yoder	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Estes*	R	0		0	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	✗	✗	✗
4	Pompeo**	R	N/A	1	4	?	?	?	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪	⓪
KENTUCKY																			
1	Comer	R	6	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Guthrie	R	0	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
3	Yarmuth	D	100	92	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Massie	R	17	7	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
5	Rogers, H.	R	6	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Barr	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
LOUISIANA																			
1	Scalise†	R	N/A	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
2	Richmond	D	86	86	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Higgins, C.	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Johnson, M.	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Abraham	R	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Graves, G.	R	3	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗

* Representative Estes was sworn in on April 25, 2017.

** Representative Pompeo resigned on January 23, 2017 to serve as the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency.

† Representative Scalise missed a number of votes due to an injury and was not given a score for 2017.

Bill Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	
15 National Security Threat of Climate Change	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
16 Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
17 Gutting Pipeline Review	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
18 Attacking Wilderness in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
19 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
20 Funding Environmental Justice	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
21 Protecting Strong Ozone Standards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
22 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
23 Undermining EPA Criminal Enforcement	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
24 Methane Pollution Safeguards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
25 Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
26 Transferring Public Lands to Private Owners	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
27 Slashing EPA Funding	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
28 Undermining the National Ocean Policy	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
29 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
30 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
31 Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
32 Forest Management and Public Input	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
33 Prioritizing Power Companies During Hydropower Licensing	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
34 Attack on Minnesota's National Forests	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
35 Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2017

% 114th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Attack on Public Protections

2 Safeguards Shutdown

3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections

4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)

5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)

6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)

7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)

8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)

9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards

10 Attack on EPA Science

11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA

12 Pesticides Pollution

13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects

14 California Water Resources

MAINE

1	Pingree	D	100	99	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Poliquin	R	20	15	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

MARYLAND

1	Harris, A.	R	6	1	3	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Ruppersberger	D	100	88	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Sarbanes	D	100	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Brown, A.	D	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Hoyer	D	97	90	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Delaney	D	100	95	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Cummings	D	63	99	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?
8	Raskin	D	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

MASSACHUSETTS

1	Neal	D	100	97	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	McGovern	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Tsongas	D	91	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Kennedy, Joseph P.	D	94	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Clark, K.*	D	89	100	96	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Moulton	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Capuano	D	100	99	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Lynch	D	100	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Keating	D	100	95	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

MICHIGAN

1	Bergman	R	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Huizenga	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Amash	R	23	14	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
4	Moolenaar	R	6	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Kildee	D	94	97	96	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Upton	R	14	8	26	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Walberg	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representative Clark entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 620, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Attack on Public Protections	2 Safeguards Shutdown	3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards	10 Attack on EPA Science	11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	12 Pesticides Pollution	13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	14 California Water Resources
8	Bishop, M.	R	6	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Levin	D	100	99	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Mitchell	R	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Trott	R	3	4	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Dingell	D	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Conyers*	D	94	96	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Lawrence	D	100	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MINNESOTA																			
1	Walz	D	97	79	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
2	Lewis, Jason	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Paulsen	R	14	14	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	McCollum	D	100	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Ellison	D	100	93	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Emmer	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Peterson	D	14	16	32	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Nolan	D	89	85	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
MISSISSIPPI																			
1	Kelly, T.	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Thompson, B.	D	94	92	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Harper	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Palazzo	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
MISSOURI																			
1	Clay	D	94	93	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Wagner	R	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Luetkemeyer	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Hartzler	R	3	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cleaver**	D	89	93	87	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
6	Graves, S.	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Long	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
8	Smith, J.	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

* Representative Conyers resigned on December 5, 2017.

** Representative Cleaver entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 45, 352, 368, and 528, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2017

% 114th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Attack on Public Protections

2 Safeguards Shutdown

3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections

4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)

5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)

6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)

7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)

8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)

9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards

10 Attack on EPA Science

11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA

12 Pesticides Pollution

13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects

14 California Water Resources

MONTANA

AL	Gianforte*	R	9		9	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗
AL	Zinke**	R	N/A	4	4	?	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ

NEBRASKA

1	Fortenberry	R	17	12	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bacon	R	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Smith, Adrian	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

NEVADA

1	Titus	D	100	95	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Amodei	R	6	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Rosen	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Kihuen	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓

NEW HAMPSHIRE

1	Shea-Porter	D	100		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Kuster	D	94	95	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

NEW JERSEY

1	Norcross	D	91	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	LoBiondo	R	46	37	56	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
3	MacArthur	R	23	10	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Smith, C.	R	49	34	61	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
5	Gottheimer	D	83		83	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
6	Pallone	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Lance	R	34	12	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Sires	D	97	93	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Pascrell	D	97	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Payne	D	97	86	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	R	9	5	31	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Watson Coleman	D	89	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Gianforte was sworn in on June 21, 2017.

**Representative Zinke resigned on March 1, 2017 to serve as Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Interior.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2017
% 114th Congress
% Lifetime

- 1 Attack on Public Protections
- 2 Safeguards Shutdown
- 3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections
- 4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)
- 5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)
- 6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)
- 7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)
- 8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)
- 9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards
- 10 Attack on EPA Science
- 11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA
- 12 Pesticides Pollution
- 13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects
- 14 California Water Resources

NEW MEXICO

Rank	Name	Party	2017	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	Lujan Grisham, M.	D	100	90	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Pearce	R	3	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Luján, B.R.	D	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW YORK

Rank	Name	Party	2017	114th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	Zeldin	R	9	11	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	King, P.	R	11	11	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Suoizzi	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Rice, K.	D	100	93	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Meeks	D	89	90	88	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
6	Meng	D	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Velázquez	D	100	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Jeffries*	D	97	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Clarke, Y.	D	97	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Nadler	D	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Donovan	R	17	16	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Maloney, C.	D	97	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
13	Espaillet	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Crowley	D	100	92	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Serrano	D	97	99	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Engel**	D	97	96	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Lowey	D	100	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Maloney, S.P.	D	94	92	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
19	Faso	R	34		34	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Tonko	D	100	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Stefanik	R	43	19	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Tenney	R	6		6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Reed, T.	R	11	7	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Katko	R	26	21	22	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
25	Slaughter†	D	94	96	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Jeffries entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 588, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

** Representative Engel entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 78, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

† Representative Slaughter entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 206 and 208, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Attack on Public Protections	2 Safeguards Shutdown	3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards	10 Attack on EPA Science	11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	12 Pesticides Pollution	13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	14 California Water Resources
26	Higgins, B.	D	100	99	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27	Collins, C.	R	3	3	3	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NORTH CAROLINA																			
1	Butterfield	D	91	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	?
2	Holding	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Jones	R	31	19	23	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
4	Price	D	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Foxx	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Walker	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Rouzer	R	3	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Hudson	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Pittenger	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	McHenry	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Meadows	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Adams	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	Budd	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
NORTH DAKOTA																			
AL	Cramer	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OHIO																			
1	Chabot	R	0	0	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Wenstrup	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Beatty	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Jordan	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Latta	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Johnson, B.	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Gibbs	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Davidson	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Kaptur	D	97	95	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Turner	R	6	7	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Fudge	D	100	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
12	Tiberi	R	0	1	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Attack on Public Protections	2 Safeguards Shutdown	3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards	10 Attack on EPA Science	11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	12 Pesticides Pollution	13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	14 California Water Resources	
13	Ryan, T.*	D	94	96	92	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Joyce	R	11	5	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Stivers	R	3	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Renacci	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OKLAHOMA																				
1	Bridenstine	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Mullin	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lucas	R	6	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Cole	R	9	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Russell	R	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
OREGON																				
1	Bonamici	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Walden	R	9	4	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Blumenauer	D	100	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	DeFazio	D	100	93	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Schrader**	D	73	67	71	⓪	⓪	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
PENNSYLVANIA																				
1	Brady, R.	D	91	93	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Evans	D	94	100	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kelly, M.	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Perry	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Thompson, G.	R	6	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Costello	R	37	27	31	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Meehan	R	26	22	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Fitzpatrick	R	71		71	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Shuster	R	0	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Marino	R	3	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Barletta	R	3	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Rothfus	R	3	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Boyle	D	94	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Ryan entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 45, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

**Representative Schrader missed the opening session of Congress and was sworn in on January 10, 2017.

Issue	Rep	Dem	Indep	Other	Unk	Rep	Dem	Indep	Other	Unk	Rep	Dem	Indep	Other	Unk	Rep	Dem	Indep	Other	Unk	Rep	Dem	Indep	Other	Unk	Rep	Dem	Indep	Other	Unk	
15 National Security Threat of Climate Change	✓	✓				✓					✓					✓						✓					✓				
16 Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
17 Gutting Pipeline Review	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
18 Attacking Wilderness in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
19 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
20 Funding Environmental Justice	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
21 Protecting Strong Ozone Standards	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
22 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
23 Undermining EPA Criminal Enforcement	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
24 Methane Pollution Safeguards	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
25 Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
26 Transferring Public Lands to Private Owners	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
27 Slashing EPA Funding	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
28 Undermining the National Ocean Policy	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
29 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
30 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
31 Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
32 Forest Management and Public Input	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
33 Prioritizing Power Companies During Hydropower Licensing	✗	✓				✓					✓					✓						✓					✓				
34 Attack on Minnesota's National Forests	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				
35 Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✓	✗				✗					✗					✗						✗					✗				

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- Ⓜ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Attack on Public Protections	Safeguards Shutdown	Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	Assault on Environmental Safeguards	Attack on EPA Science	Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	Pesticides Pollution	Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	California Water Resources	
14	Doyle	D	97	92	77	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
15	Dent	R	6	12	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Smucker	R	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Cartwright	D	97	97	97	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Murphy, T.*	R	7	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
RHODE ISLAND																				
1	Cicilline	D	100	99	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Langevin	D	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
SOUTH CAROLINA																				
1	Sanford	R	34	14	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
2	Wilson, J.	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Duncan, Jeff	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Gowdy	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Mulvaney**	R	N/A	1	6	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ
5	Norman†	R	0		0	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	Ⓜ	✗
6	Clyburn	D	83	88	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Rice, T.	R	3	1	1	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
SOUTH DAKOTA																				
AL	Noem	R	0	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
TENNESSEE																				
1	Roe	R	3	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Duncan, John	R	9	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Fleischmann	R	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	DesJarlais	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cooper	D	100	81	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Black, D.	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
7	Blackburn, M.	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Kustoff	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Cohen	D	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Murphy resigned on October 21, 2017.

**Representative Mulvaney resigned on February 16, 2017 to serve as the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

† Representative Norman was sworn in on June 26, 2017.

Issue	Score 1	Score 2	Score 3	Score 4	Score 5	Score 6	Score 7	Score 8	Score 9	Score 10	Score 11	Score 12	Score 13	Score 14	Score 15	Score 16	Score 17	Score 18	Score 19	Score 20	Score 21	Score 22	Score 23
65 National Security Threat of Climate Change	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
66 Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
67 Gutting Pipeline Review	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
68 Attacking Wilderness in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
69 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
70 Funding Environmental Justice	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
71 Protecting Strong Ozone Standards	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
72 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
73 Undermining EPA Criminal Enforcement	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
74 Methane Pollution Safeguards	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
75 Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
76 Transferring Public Lands to Private Owners	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
77 Slashing EPA Funding	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
78 Undermining the National Ocean Policy	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
79 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
80 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
81 Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
82 Forest Management and Public Input	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
83 Prioritizing Power Companies During Hydropower Licensing	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
84 Attack on Minnesota's National Forests	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
85 Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓪ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2017

% 114th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Attack on Public Protections

2 Safeguards Shutdown

3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections

4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)

5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)

6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)

7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)

8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)

9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards

10 Attack on EPA Science

11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA

12 Pesticides Pollution

13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects

14 California Water Resources

TEXAS

1	Gohmert	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Poe	R	0	0	3	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Johnson, S.	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?
4	Ratcliffe	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Hensarling	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Barton	R	6	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Culberson	R	3	1	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Brady, K.	R	3	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Green, A.	D	100	90	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	McCaul	R	0	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Conaway	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Granger	R	0	1	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
13	Thornberry	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Weber	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Gonzalez	D	71		71	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
16	O'Rourke	D	100	92	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Flores	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
18	Jackson Lee	D	94	89	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	Arrington	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Castro	D	100	95	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Smith, L.	R	0	1	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Olson	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
23	Hurd	R	3	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
24	Marchant	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Williams	R	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Burgess	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
27	Farenthold	R	0	1	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
28	Cuellar	D	34	26	41	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
29	Green, G.	D	83	73	66	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Johnson, E.B.*	D	94	92	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Johnson entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 620, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																	
			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
						Attack on Public Protections	Safeguards Shutdown	Significantly Hindering New Public Protections	Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)	Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)	Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)	Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)	Assault on Environmental Safeguards	Attack on EPA Science	Undermining the Integrity of the EPA	Pesticides Pollution	Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects	California Water Resources	
31	Carter, J.	R	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
32	Sessions	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
33	Veasey	D	91	85	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34	Vela	D	80	81	73	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
35	Doggett	D	97	99	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
36	Babin	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
UTAH																				
1	Bishop, R.	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Stewart	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Chaffetz*	R	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	ⓘ
3	Curtis**	R	0		0	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
4	Love	R	3	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
VERMONT																				
AL	Welch	D	97	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
VIRGINIA																				
1	Wittman	R	3	1	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Taylor	R	6		6	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Scott, R.	D	100	100	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	McEachin†	D	89		89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Garrett‡	R	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
6	Goodlatte	R	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Brat	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Beyer	D	100	99	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Griffith	R	0	4	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Comstock	R	9	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Connolly	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Chaffetz resigned on June 30, 2017.

**Representative Curtis was sworn in on November 13, 2017.

† Representative McEachin missed a number of votes due to a medical procedure.

‡ Representative Garrett missed a number of votes due to the birth of his daughter.

Issue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35		
16 National Security Threat of Climate Change	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
16 Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
17 Gutting Pipeline Review	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
18 Attacking Wilderness in the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
18 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
19 Funding Environmental Justice	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
21 Protecting Strong Ozone Standards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
22 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
23 Undermining EPA Criminal Enforcement	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
24 Methane Pollution Safeguards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
25 Recognizing the Cost of Climate Change	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
26 Transferring Public Lands to Private Owners	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
27 Slashing EPA Funding	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
28 Undermining the National Ocean Policy	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
29 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
30 Delaying the Resolution of Environmental Court Cases	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
31 Budget Resolution Paving the Way for Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
32 Forest Management and Public Input	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
33 Prioritizing Power Companies During Hydropower Licensing	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
34 Attack on Minnesota's National Forests	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
35 Anti-Environmental Tax Bill that Opens Drilling in the Arctic Refuge	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

3. HOUSE SCORES

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2017

% 114th Congress

% Lifetime

1 Attack on Public Protections

2 Safeguards Shutdown

3 Significantly Hindering New Public Protections

4 Assault on the Anti-Corruption Rule for Fossil Fuel Companies (CRA)

5 Extreme Assault on the Stream Protection Rule (CRA)

6 Extreme Assault on the Methane and Waste Prevention Rule (CRA)

7 Blocking Local Input about Public Lands (CRA)

8 Blocking Wildlife Protections in Alaska (CRA)

9 Assault on Environmental Safeguards

10 Attack on EPA Science

11 Undermining the Integrity of the EPA

12 Pesticides Pollution

13 Undermining Environmental Review on Water Projects

14 California Water Resources

WASHINGTON

			% 2017	% 114th Congress	% Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
1	DelBene	D	97	96	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
2	Larsen, R.*	D	91	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
3	Herrera Beutler	R	9	7	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Newhouse	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
5	McMorris Rodgers	R	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Kilmer	D	100	96	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Jayapal**	D	97		97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Reichert	R	29	21	36	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Smith, Adam†	D	97	85	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Heck	D	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

WEST VIRGINIA

1	McKinley	R	0	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Mooney	R	0	1	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Jenkins, E.	R	0	4	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

WISCONSIN

						THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION.														
1	Ryan, P.	R	N/A	N/A	11															
2	Pocan‡	D	89	99	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kind	D	94	86	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
4	Moore	D	100	95	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	R	6	3	26	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Grothman	R	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Duffy	R	0	1	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Gallagher	R	3		3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

WYOMING

AL	Cheney	R	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
----	--------	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

* Representative Larsen entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 319, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
 ** Representative Jayapal entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 643, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
 † Representative Smith entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 83, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
 ‡ Representative Pocan entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 598, 620, 643, and 699, which would have been scored as pro-environment.



ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY


Please visit **scorecard.lcv.org** to view the *National Environmental Scorecard* electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the statehouse to the White House.

To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit **www.lcv.org/donate**.

Sign up for LCV's email updates at **www.lcv.org** and join LCV's mobile action network by texting "LCV" to 877-877.

Take action on a wide array of pressing environmental issues at **www.lcv.org/act**.

Thank you for being the voice for the environment.



OVER 45 YEARS OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES —
 all at the push of a button. You can now easily see how every member of
 Congress voted since the launch of LCV's first *Scorecard* in 1971 as part of
 our new interactive *National Environmental Scorecard* at **scorecard.lcv.org**.



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

scorecard.lcv.org

740 15TH STREET NW, SUITE 700 · WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PHONE: 202.785.8683 · WWW.LCV.ORG

This publication was designed and printed using 100% wind power
 and was printed on an alcohol-free press with soy-based inks on
 100% recycled stock.



www.instagram.com/LCVoters



www.facebook.com/LCVoters



www.twitter.com/LCVoters



www.youtube.com/lcv2008

